




**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-22**  
 Technical Evaluation of firms/ companies  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

STAGE: 01

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S ENTERPRISE FSD	M/S: Al-Harm Logistics Sheikhpura	M/S Mian Tayyab Ishaq, Fsd	M/S Zahid Khan & Co, Fsd	M/S Hope Enterprises Fsd	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Financial Position / Status</u></b>	<b>15</b>						
	i. Income Tax registration certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	03	
	ii. Sales tax registration certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	03	
	iii. Professional Tax certificate	(03)	00	00	00	00	00	
	iii. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million)	(06)						
2.	<b><u>Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field</u></b>	<b>15</b>						
	i. 1-3 years experience	(09)						
	ii. 4-8 years experience	(12)	00	09	09	09	00	
	iii. 9-15 years & above experience	(15)						
3.	<b><u>Overall past performance of the firm/company</u></b>	<b>15</b>						
	a) very good	15						
	b) good	12						
	c) satisfactory	10	00	10	12	12	00	
	d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions	09						
	e)Unsatisfactory/ Poor	(00)						
4.	<b><u>Technical Staff</u></b>	<b>05</b>						
	i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	(05)	05	05	05	05	00	
	<b>Qualifying points 60% of total</b>	<b>Total Points = 50</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>09</b>	

  
**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**

  
**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Dollar Clipper	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Dollar	REMARKS
1.	<b>Ball point Red &amp; Blue Clipper</b>						
	<b>0.7 mm Needle Nib Ball, Best Quality As per Sample</b>						
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Dollar	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Dollar	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

3.		Board Marker Erasable	Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Picasso	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Piano	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	    16	    18	    18	    18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	   14	   16	   14	   16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

4. Envelope Khaki		4x9" Best Quality As per Sample					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Brown & White	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

5.		Envelope Khaki		11.5x14.5 , Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Brown & White	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

6.		File Cover Hard Fiber with Clip			Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fiber	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Imported	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Imported, first	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Shakir	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   18	   18	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  16	  14	  16	  14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

7.		File Tray Plastic for Office Use	18"x24"x3" Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Steal	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S:	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18			
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

8.		Fluid Marker	Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. ORO	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Piano	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Perfect	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

9.		Marker Permanent All Color	Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Piano	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Dollar	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   18	   18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  16	  14	  16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

10.		Notice Board with green sheet	2.5" x 4", Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  16	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

11.		Notice Board with green sheet	3" x 4", Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

12.		Paper Legal Size 80g (500 per sheets per packet) for Photostat		Imported Best Quality As per Sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Double A	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Link Paper, Imported	M/S: Hope Enterprises HP	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Double A	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Copymate	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	16	18	18	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

13.		Paper Size A4 80g (500 per sheets per packet)	Imported Best Quality As per Sample					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Double A	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Link Paper	M/S: Hope Enterprises HP	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Double A	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Copymate	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	16	18	18	16	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Dollar	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Dollar	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	18	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	16	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid ah Shabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

15.		Register 80g	300L, Best Quality As per Sample 80g					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   18	   18	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	   14	   16	   14	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

16.		Register 80g	200 L, Best Quality As per Sample 80g					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   18	   18	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  16	  14	  16	  14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**


17.		Stapler Heavy Duty			Best Quality As per Sample		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Deli	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Deli E0486	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. STD	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	16			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid aliShabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)





**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

18.		Stapler Pin for Stapler Machine		Standard, Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Dollar	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services First	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Dollar	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14			14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>			<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)


  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)


  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Kangaroo	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Fuji	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fuji	REMARKS
19.	<b>Stapler Pin Heavy Duty for Binding</b>		<b>23 x 20", Best Quality As per Sample</b>				
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	16	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		14	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Mr. Abid al Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

20.		Stapler pin Heavy Duty for Binding		18 x 20", Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Kangaroo	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Fuji	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fuji	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	Sample for Evaluation is Not Provided hence rejected	18	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		14	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

21.		Tap for Binding		2/2" B/Q,2.5",10 mtr. Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Al-Haram Logistic & supply chain Services Local	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	18	16	18	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	30	32	30	32	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

22.		Thumb pin Color			Best Quality As per Sample		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. China	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   16	   ✘	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  14	  14	  ✘	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>✘</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

23.		Thumb Pin Plastic		Best Quality As per Sample			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Best Quality	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. China	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   16	   18	   16		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14	  14	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)